## **CBH LGPS - Detailed risk register**

**Report Author:** Matt Mott **Generated on** 23 January 2020



## Rows are sorted by Risk Score

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
CHB LGPS 001 Insufficient assets	Cause: The asset allocation of the Pension Fund is unable to fund long term liabilities.  Event: There are insufficient assets to meet liabilities.  Effect: Reduced income or lower than anticipated growth. Participating employers are required to provide further funding through increased contributions to finance liabilities.	Impact	8	This is a Financial Investment Board (FIB) Risk: The Pension Fund's Investment Strategy review carried out in October 2017 required no changes to the Fund's existing asset allocation. FIBs agreed to amend the Pension Fund's absolute return target to 5.7% from 1st April 2018. As at 30 September 2019, the Fund is outperforming its absolute return target over one, three and five years.  11 November 2019	Impact	31-Mar- 2020	Constant

## Appendix A (i)

Action no	Action description		Action owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHB LGPS 001a	least every three years by the Financial Investment Board	The Pension Fund's triennial liability valuation is carried out by the Fund's actuary as required by statute every three years and this serves as foundation for the Pension Fund's Investment Strategy. The Pension Fund's Investment Strategy review was completed in October 2017.	Al-	11- November- 2019	23- September- 2020
CHB LGPS 001b		consideration.		20-June- 2019	31-March- 2020

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
CHB LGPS 006 Employer insolvency	Cause: Processes not in place to capture or review covenant of individual employers.  Event: Employer becomes insolvent or is abolished with insufficient funding to meet liabilities.  Effect: The Fund would pick up the liabilities potentially leading to increased contribution rates for other employers.	Impact	6	Since 2013 the LGPS regulations generally require all admission bodies to enter into an indemnity or bond to cover the possibility of an employer becoming insolvent or prematurely leaving the Fund. This would mean the Fund and the remaining employers would have to meet the outgoing employer's liabilities in the Fund.  The actuary assesses the value of these risks to the Fund and sets the value for a bond, generally for a three-year period.  It is generally a requirement of the City of London for all new admission agreements to have a bond and the responsibility of the admission body to arrange and regularly reassess the bond.  22-Jan-2020	Impact	4	31-Mar- 2020	Constant

	Action no	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
- 1	006a	Bond indemnity/guarantee required for admitted bodies and incorporated into admission agreements where appropriate.	Recent admissions to the scheme have provide a bond.	Matt Mott		31-Mar- 2020

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
CHB LGPS 004 Pension Scheme Administration  17-Dec-2019 Chamberlain	Cause: (i) Ineffective succession planning. (ii) Inadequately trained staff.(iii) Absences/increased staff turnover.(v) Data Accuracy.(vi) Lack of resources.  Event: The failure of administrators to accurately calculate and pay the correct level of benefits.  Effect:  (i) Inaccurate benefits paid or delayed. (ii) Increased costs. (iii) Financial penalties/ sanctions.	Impact	4	The Regulations of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) set out how LGPS pensions should be calculated; the procedures to be followed in certain circumstances (i.e. normal retirement and ill health retirement); the timeframes/deadlines to be adhered to; and the notifications to be provided to Scheme members.  In addition, other bodies such as the Pensions Regulator, HM Revenue & Customs, the Office of National Statistics and the Financial Conduct Authority impose rules that work alongside the Scheme Regulations or may even supersede them.  If the members of the Pensions Office that are responsible for administration of the LGPS Scheme lack the necessary knowledge and skills payment of benefits may be delayed and may be inaccurate.  This may lead to financial penalties and sanctions being imposed by the governing industry bodies such as the Pensions Regulator.  4-Feb-2020	Tikelihood	4	31-Mar- 2020	Constant

## Appendix A (i)

Action no	Action description	Latest Note	Action owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHB LGPS 004a	Job descriptions used at recruitment to attract candidates with skills and experience related to LGPS administration. The appraisals process to monitor progress and assess training needs.	Ensuring that candidates with the necessary skills and abilities are employed by the City.  Once in post, staff continue to receive relevant training and attend courses, seminars and conferences when appropriate.  Have trained multiple team members for extra duties due to parental leave cover	Matt Mott	21 Jan 2020	31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 004b	Scheme administrators are trained to use the pensions administration software.	Ensuring that administrators are fully trained to use the pension administration software to enable them to provide accurate and efficient calculations. In addition, administrators should know the correct process to report to the software provider any errors encountered with the system in order that they can be investigated and resolved.	Matt Mott	21 Jan 2020	31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 004c	Accurate and appropriate checking procedures in place at all areas of administration.	Rigorous and thorough checking procedures are created and implemented to ensure all calculations and letters are checked for accuracy and legislative compliance	Matt Mott	21 Jan 2020	31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 004d	.Practical disaster recovery/succession plans in place to ensure continuity in the event that key staff leave or are unable to work for a prolonged period of time.	Ensuring that skill sets are not restricted to one staff member alone.  Priority cases and work types are identified to ensure continuation in the event that staff or other resources become unavailable	Matt Mott	21 Jan 2020	31-Mar- 2020

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
CHB LGPS 007 Cyber security  17-Dec-2019 Chamberlain	Cause: (i) Ineffective procedures. (ii) Inadequately trained staff. (iii) IT system failure (iv) Data Accuracy. (v) Lack of resources.  Event: Breach of Corporate IT systems and Cyber security.  Effect: (i) Inaccurate benefits paid or delayed. (ii) Increased costs of inefficiencies. (iii) Financial penalties/sanctions. (iv) Breach of Data Protection regulations. (v) Loss/corruption of data	Impact		A malicious breach of Corporate IT systems may lead to a failure of the pensions administration system and/or a breach of Data Protection regulations.  A failure of the pensions administration system or a breach of the DP regulations may mean a failure or inability to calculate benefits accurately and on time which may lead to financial penalties and sanctions being imposed by the governing industry bodies such as the Pensions Regulator or Information Commissioner's Office.  4-Feb-2020	Impact	4		Constant

Action no	Action description	Latest Note	atest Note				Due Date
CHB LGPS 007a	Pensions administration staff to be aware of the corporate policy regarding cyber security and to follow the guidelines given.	Corporate online training regardias required.	Torporate online training regarding cyber-security to be carried out by all staff and reviewed s required.				31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 007b	Corporate and departmental specific software to be updated as required to ensure the latest and most secure version is being used.	To ensure the most up-to-date so and when prompted.	To ensure the most up-to-date software is being used, staff should update their computers as nd when prompted.				31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 007c	Ensuring that the pensions administration software is included in the departmental business continuity plans	Updating the business impact an required.	Updating the business impact analysis details used in the departmental continuity plan as equired.				31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 007d	Pensions administration staff to be aware of Data Protection legislation	Corporate online training regarding Data Protection to be carried out by all staff and reviewed as required.			Matt Mott	21 Jan 2020	31-Mar- 2020
Risk no, title,	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score Risk Update and date of update Target Risk Rating & S				Target Date	Current

creation date, owner								Risk score change indicator
Compliances	Cause: (i) Lack of appropriate knowledge or skill. (ii) Lack of training/ appropriately skilled staff.  Event: The failure to comply with legislative requirements.  Effect: (i) Inaccurate benefits paid. (ii) Financial loss. (iii) Increase in Appeals. (iv) Fines from Pensions Regulator. (v) Reputational damage	Impact	3	Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) regulations determine how LGPS benefits should be calculated; the procedures to be followed in certain circumstances (i.e. normal retirement and ill health retirement); the timeframes/deadlines to be adhered to; and the notifications to be provided to Scheme members.  In addition, overriding pension legislation and other bodies such as the Pensions Regulator, HM Revenue & Customs, the Office of National Statistics and the Financial Conduct Authority may impose rules that work alongside the LGPS regulations or may even supersede them.  If the members of the Pensions Office that are responsible for administration of the LGPS Scheme lack the necessary legislative knowledge and skills payment of benefits may be delayed or may be inaccurate.  This may lead to formal complaints or appeals from scheme members, there representative or scheme employers resulting in financial penalties and sanctions imposed by the governing bodies such as the Pensions Regulator or the Pensions Ombudsman and a loss of reputation.	Impact	3	31-Mar- 2020	
17-Dec-2019 Chamberlain				04- Feb- 2020				Constant

Action no	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHB LGPS 003a		Ensuring that suitable staff are recruited at the correct salary levels and that appropriate training is provided will help to prevent non-compliance with legislative requirements	Matt Mott		31-Mar- 2020
		Regular attendance at seminars, forums, webinars and user groups will ensure that knowledge of the relevant legislation is kept up-to-date and accurate.	Matt Mott		31-Mar- 2020

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Scot	ore 7	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
CHB LGPS 005 Fraud 17-Dec-2019 Chamberlain	Cause: (i) Not notified of death. (ii) Staff acting inappropriately Event: Fraudulent claim of pension benefits. Effect: (i) Continued payment of pensions following death. (ii) Overpaid pensions. (ii) Financial loss	Likelihood		If the death of a LGPS beneficiary is not reported, their pension may continue to be paid when there is no longer an entitlement.  This may be a deliberate failure to report the death or may be where there is no fraudulent intention, but in either case it will lead to benefit overpayment and a potential financial loss.  4-Feb-2020		2	31-Mar- 2020	Constant

Action no	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHB LGPS 005a		Use of Mortality Screening Service and Tell Us Once Service [Government initiative that allows us to be notified of a death when registered]. Participation in the National Fraud Initiative. Annually sending Life Certificates to Overseas Pensioners.	Matt Mott		31-Mar- 2020

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
CHB LGPS 002 Actuarial valuation  17-Dec-2019 Chamberlain	Cause: (i) Inappropriate assumptions used by the Actuary (ii) Inaccurate data supplied to the Actuary.  Event: Unsuitable triennial actuarial valuation.  Effects: Employer rates unsuitable to maintain long term cost efficiency & solvency.	Likelihood	1	The latest full actuarial valuation of the Pension Fund, using member data and investment asset information as at 31 March 2019, is being finalised. Using updated financial and demographic assumptions, the actuary has been able to generate an accurate picture of the Pension Fund's funding position (assets compared to liabilities) which will be used to establish appropriate employer contribution rates for use from 1 April 2020.  21 January 2020	Tikelihood	1	31-Mar- 2020	Constant

Action no	Action description	Latest Note	Action owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHB LGPS 002a	Members of the Finance Cttee, with final report to Finance	Nominated Members of the Finance Cttee together with relevant officers met with the Actuary in October and November 2019 to discuss the preliminary triennial valuation results and the robustness of the financial and demographic assumptions used in the valuation process. The final triennial valuation results will be reported to the Finance Cttee at its meeting on 18 February 2020.	Matt Mott		31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 002c	Robust Year End procedures and updates.	The Pension Fund Accounts and Annual Report for 2016/17, 2017/18 and 2018/19 (covering the most recent triennial valuation period) were finalised on time and audited without qualification.	Matt Mott		31-Mar- 2020
CHB LGPS 002d		Officers review data quality prior to submission to the actuary. Additionally, member data is submitted separately from employer level cash flow data, which are held on two distinct management information systems. As a result, errors are more easily identified during the valuation process itself. For example, if the member data demonstrates that a given number of members belonging to a single employer retired during the valuation period then this should also be evident from the benefit payments reported in the cash flow data.	Matt Mott		31-Mar- 2020

Appendix A (i)